UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/001,766 | 10/31/2001 | Yoshizumi Mano | 09812.0171-00000 | 4322 |
| | 7590 05/20/2009 NEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER | | | |
| LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW | | RUHL, DENNIS WILLIAM | | |
| | N, DC 20001-4413 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3689 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/20/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/001,766 | MANO ET AL. | | | | |
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Dennis Ruhl | 3689 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Dennis Ruhl</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Eli Mazour</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 11 May 2009. | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative] | | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: all pending. | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Fernstenberg. | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the last office action in view of the claims. Arguments were presented for patentability but no agreement was reached. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| /Dennis Ruhl/ | | | | | | |